## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	District of Nevada
United States of America v. STEPHEN T. PARSHALL	) ) Case No. 2:20-mj-00456-BNW
Defendant	
ORDER OF D	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

STEPHEN T. PARSHALL		
Defendant )		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or		
Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),		
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fac and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:		
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):		
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.		
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
$\bigsqcup$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or		
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or		
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs		
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; <b>or</b>		
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:		
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and		
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.		
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>		
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was		
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and		
$\square$ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the		
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.		

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant of the feet of	
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	îs
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ıg,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	<b>;</b>
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	
the defendant's appearance as required.	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	

## 

AO 472 (R	Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
	Significant family or other ties outside the Un	ited States
	Lack of legal status in the United States	
	Subject to removal or deportation after servin	g any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
	Use of alias(es) or false documents	
	Background information unknown or unverifi	ed
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or super-	vised release
OTHER	REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	
See Atta	chment.	
	Part IV - Direct	tions Regarding Detention
for confi being he with defe in charge	inement in a corrections facility separate, to the eld in custody pending appeal. The defendant ense counsel. On order of a court of the United	ney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation. I States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person rendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance
Date:	06/10/2020	

NANCY J. KOPPE, United States Magistrate Judge

## **Attachment**

The Court notes that the defendant has a detainer lodged in State Court. The Court finds that the defendant is a member the Boogaloos, a known right-wing extremist group whose goal is to overthrow the government. The defendant was a part of the Facebook page for the Boogaloo group. The defendant engaged in many actions that demonstrate danger to the community. The government has proffered that the defendant wanted to burn down or damage government buildings and infrastructure and wanted to engage in overthrowing the government. The defendant not only talked about damaging a ranger station but went out to examine the ranger station with at least one co-defendant and an FBI Confidential Human Source. The defendant knew where the cameras were near the station and had a plan as to how to damage the station using fireworks or incendiary devices. It is clear that the defendant wanted to cause civil disturbance and civil unrest based on not only what he said, but on his actions. The Court notes that the George Floyd death occurred on May 25, 2020. On May 27, 2020, the defendant, William Loomis, and the FBI Confidential Human Source met and discussed how to destroy a power substation to increase civil unrest. The defendant went to survey the power substation. It was decided that the defendant would throw the explosives. The defendant went to the Moapa Paiute Travel Plaza to purchase fireworks. The defendant later called off the operation, but only because he thought he had been followed when he purchased the fireworks. The defendant, along with his co-defendants, attended at least one George Floyd protest hoping to cause unrest and violence. The defendant stated that he had enough material for several bombs. The defendant was at the protest to engage in violence, and to incite violence, and was upset when no violence occurred at that protest. On May 30, 2020, the defendant went to gas station and filled up his gas tank. The defendant then met with his co-defendants and ripped mechanic rags into strips for Molotov cocktails. The defendant discussed the best way to make Molotov cocktails, to wrap the rags around the glass bottles instead of placing them inside the bottles, to make them more incendiary. When he was arrested, visible inside the defendant's vehicle were multiple rags - including the ripped red rags - multiple cans of hairspray in a plastic bag, and a myriad of fireworks. The fireworks consisted of multiple types of mortars and various firecrackers. In the bed of the truck was a gas container with gas inside. Additionally, inside of the defendant's vehicle was a 12-gauge shotgun, with numerous shotgun shells, and a 45-caliber pistol. An outer-carrier with plates was also present. A search warrant was executed on June 1, 2020, and numerous accelerants including gasoline, fuel injector cleaner, hair spray, strips of clothes, and four glass jars containing a liquid that tested positive as gasoline were found inside the defendant's vehicle. The defendant occasionally uses Marijuana and alcohol and apparently suffered a traumatic head injury while in the military. As a result, the Court finds that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that the Court could fashion at this time to protect the community against the risk of danger posed by the defendant. Accordingly, the defendant is ORDERED DETAINED pending trial.